

UNITED STATES __ PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 087387,830 The second of the EXAMINER DRIEN, L MERCHANT GOULD SHITS YOULL WELTER & SCHMIDT PAPER NUMBER 3100 NORWEST CENTER 10 90 SOUTH SEVENTH STAPES MINNEAPOLIS MN 55400 DATE MAILED: 01/20/07 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS **OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY** 11/26/976 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453.Q.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire_ month(s), or thirty days. whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims Claim(s) ___ is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) _ is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to ☐ Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ___ ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ___ _____ is approved disapproved. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892

*Certified copies not received:

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Serial No. 08/387,832 Art Unit 3311

Claims 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 lacks a structural association between the electrode sets which would permit the intended use (i.e., means for positioning ...); the intended positioning of the electrodes should be set forth with adapted to language so as not to inferentially include the human body (i.e., are adapted to not be in contact and are adapted to be located in contact).

The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,311,866. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent an obvious change in scope.

-3-

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Lee S. Cohen at telephone number (703) 308-2998.

LEE S. COHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3300

L.S. Cohen:lf January 25, 1997